

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,385	WOLF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2886	

All Participants:

(1) Roy M. Punnoose.

(2) Atty. Allison Johnson (Reg.No.36,173).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007
Time: 3:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The structural relationship of the dichromatic mirror

Claims discussed:

1, 70

Prior art documents discussed:

Schrof et al (US Patent 5,949,532)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Atty. Johnson agreed to amend claim 1 to include the structural relationship of the dichromatic mirror, to better describe applicant's claimed invention with an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)